KEVIN W. McCANNA PRESIDENT DAVID F. PHILLIPS SR. VICE PRESIDENT LARRY P. BURGER VICE PRESIDENT PANIEL D. FORBES VICE PRESIDENT BARBARA L. CHEVALIER
VICE PRESIDENT

RAPHALIATA T. McKENZIE VICE PRESIDENT

May 23, 2017

The Honorable Richard C. Irvin and Members of the City Council City of Aurora
44 East Downer Place
Aurora. Illinois 60507

RE: City of Aurora, Kane, DuPage, Kendall and Will Counties, Illinois (the "City")

\$16,500,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2017

Dear Mayor Irvin and Council Members:

Bids were received today for the \$16,500,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2017 (the "Bonds"). There were 51 bids received by six bidders. The bid received by each bidder is listed at the bottom of this letter in accordance with the signed bids.

Upon examination, it is our opinion that the bid of Bank of America Merrill Lynch, New York, New York, is the best bid received, and it is further our opinion that the bid is favorable to the City and should be accepted. The proceeds of the Bonds will be used to finance property acquisition and demolition, fire station replacement, transportation improvements, and other capital projects, and to pay the costs of issuing the Bonds. We therefore recommend that the Bonds be awarded to that bidder at a price of \$16,628,733.84, being at a true interest rate of 3.0128%.

•	True
Account Managers	Interest Rate
Bank of America Merrill Lynch, New York, New York	3.0128%
Northland Securities, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin	3.0139%
Stifel Nicholas & Company, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri	
Robert W. Baird & Co., Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin	
Morgan Stanley, New York, New York	
Hutchinson, Shockey, Erley & Co., Chicago, Illinois	

Respectfully submitted,

SPEER FINANCIAL, INC.

Raphaliata T. McKenzie Senior Vice President

RTM/lae

Attachments

Page 1 of 1



Type Start
AON 10:30:00 am Auction Date Tue., May 23, 2017

End 10:55:12 am

Status Time Now Status 10:55:49 am EDT Over

Connected to server

City of Aurora, Kane, DuPage, Kendall and Will Counties, Illinois General Obligation Bonds Series 2017 \$16,500,000

				Gross	+ Discount/	Total	Bid	Cumulative	Bid Cumulative Open Auction
Bidder	Firm	TIC	Time	Interest	(Premium)	Interest	No.	No. Improvement	Savings
1st AMER-MHBank of Ameri 3.012791% 10:53:12 am \$5,855,764.95 (128,733.84) \$5,727,031.11 8 0.148307%	Bank of Ameri	3.012791%	10:53:12 am	\$5,855,764.95	(128,733.84)	\$5,727,031.11	8	0.148307%	\$ 226,919.78
2nd NORT-DS	1	3.013915%	10:53:46 am	\$5,904,873.33	(164,558.45)	Northland 3.013915% 10:53:46 am \$5,904,873.33 (164,558.45) \$5,740,314.88 20 0.680818%	20	0.680818%	•
3rd STIF-TD	Stifel	3.045769%	10:50:50 am	\$6,059,313.18	(233,975.00)	3.045769% 10:50:50 am \$6,059,313.18 (233,975.00) \$5,825,338.18 11	11	0.239627%	
4th RWBA-DK Robert Baird 3.073043% 10:43:39 am \$5,883,647.45 (56,854.40) \$5,826,793.05 2	Robert Baird	3.073043%	10:43:39 am	\$5,883,647.45	(56,854.40)	\$5,826,793.05	2	0.099406%	1
5th MORG-HR	Morgan	3.114801%	10:52:03 am	\$6,064,968.77	(132,106.48)	3.114801% 10:52:03 am \$6,064,968.77 (132,106.48) \$5,932,862.29 7	7	0.055149%	•
6th HUTC-JV	Hutchinson	3.241081%	10:43:26 am	\$6,445,208.33	(223,892.00)	Hutchinson 3.241081% 10:43:26 am \$6,445,208.33 (223,892.00) \$6,221,316.33 3 0.213703%	3	0.213703%	ı
						Total Bids: 51	51		

^{*}Preliminary, subject to change

SPEER FINANCIAL, INC.

PUBLIC FINANCE CONSULTANTS SINCE 1954

SUITE 4100 • ONE NORTH LASALLE STREET • CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602 • (312) 346-3700 • FAX (312) 346-8833

Investment Rating: S&P Global Ratings ... AA/Stable

\$16,500,000 CITY OF AURORA Kane, DuPage, Kendall and Will Counties, Illinois General Obligation Bonds, Series 2017

Date of Sale: May 23, 2017 Average Life: 11.438 Years Bond Buyer Index: 3.73% (Based on TIC)

	Dita	B. B. a. s. wiki a. a.	Datas	True
Bidders*	<u>Price</u> 100.780%	<u>Maturities</u> 2018-2031	<u>Rates</u> 3.000%	<u>Interest**</u> 3.0128%
Bank of America	\$16,628,733.84	2016-2031	3.125%	\$5,727,031.11
Merrill Lynch,	φ10,020,133.0 4	2032-2035	3.250%	ΨΟ,121,001.11
New York, NY		2034-2000	0.20070	
Northland Securities, Inc.,	100.997%	2018-2031	3.000%	3.0139%
Milwaukee, WI	\$16,664,558.45	2032	3.125%	\$5,740,314.88
		2033-2035	3.250%	
		2036	3.375%	
000 100 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	404 4400/	2018-2030	3.000%	3.0458%
Stifel Nicholas & Company, Inc.,	101.418%	2016-2030	3.000 <i>%</i> 3.125%	\$5,825,338.18
St. Louis, MO	\$16,733,975.00	2031	3.200%	ψ0,020,000. TO
		2032	3.250%	
		2033	3.500%	
		2004-2000	0.00070	
Robert W. Baird & Co., Inc.,	100.345%	2018-2031	3.000%	3.0730%
Milwaukee, WI	\$16,556,854.40	2032-2033	3.125%	\$5,826,793.05
minualities, vvi	V 10,200,000 12	2034-2035	3.250%	
		2036	3.375%	
	400 0040/	2048 2020	3.000%	3.1148%
Morgan Stanley,	100.801%	2018-2030	3.000% 3.125%	\$5,932,862.29
New York, NY	\$16,632,106.48	2031 2032	3.250%	\$5,952,002.29
		2032-2034	3.375%	
		2035-2034	3.500%	
		2033-2030	J.JUU /0	
Hutchinson, Shockey, Erley & Co.,	101.357%	2018-2032	3.000%	3.2411%
Chicago, IL	\$16,723,892.00	2033-2036	4.000%	\$6,221,316.33
0.110030, 10	,,-			

^{*}Syndicate information is provided by the underwriter. The information contained in this report is the most current available.
**The true interest rate reflects the time value of money where dollars spent in early years have a greater weight than dollars spent in later years.

\$16,500,000

City of Aurora, Kane, DuPage, Kendall and Will Counties, Illinois General Obligation Bonds Series 2017

Best AON Bidder:	Best AON TIC:
Bank of America Merrill Lynch	3.012791 %

		Serial/	
	Principal	Sinker/	
Due	Amount *	Term	Coupon
Dec 30, 2018	\$680,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2019	\$695,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2020	\$710,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2021	\$725,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2022	\$740,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2023	\$755,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2024	\$775,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2025	\$795,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2026	\$820,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2027	\$845,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2028	\$870,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2029	\$895,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2030	\$925,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2031	\$955,000	Serial	3.000%
Dec 30, 2032	\$990,000	Serial	3.125%
Dec 30, 2033	\$1,025,000	Serial	3.125%
Dec 30, 2034	\$1,060,000	Serial	3.250%
Dec 30, 2035	\$1,100,000	Serial	3.250%
Dec 30, 2036	\$1,140,000	Serial	3.250%
Daniel and Date	\$1 <i>6</i> 639 5	22 04	

Purchase Price: \$16,628,733.84

Spread: None Entered

Time Submitted: May 23, 2017 at

10:53:12 AM EDT

SpeerAuction Interest Cost Calculations (for informational purposes only)					
Issue Size	\$16,500,000				
Gross Interest	\$5,855,764.95				
Plus Discount/(Less Premium)	(128,733.84)				
Total Interest Cost	\$5,727,031.11				
True Interest Rate	3.012791%				
Total Bond Years	188,730.00				
Average Life	11.438 Years				

Firm: Bank of America Merrill Lynch

Securities sold by action Deposit, if any, which is	mitted or as revised post sale, if appropriate, was accepted and the of this Board, and receipt is hereby acknowledged of the good faith being held in accordance with the terms of the annexed Official Notice
of Sale. Signature:	
Title:	

City of Aurora, Kane, DuPage, Kendall and Will Counties, Illinois

\$16,500,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2017

Final

Debt Service Schedule

Date	Principal	Coupon	Interest	Total P+I	Fiscal Total
06/06/2017	•	-	•	•	007 703 97
12/30/2017	-	-	286,602.29	286,602.29	286,602.29
06/30/2018	•	•	252,884.38	252,884.38	
12/30/2018	680,000.00	3.000%	252,884.38	932,884.38	1,185,768.76
06/30/2019	•	•	242,684.38	242,684.38	
12/30/2019	695,000.00	3,000%	242,684.38	937,684.38	1,180,368.76
06/30/2020	•	-	232,259,38	232,259.38	•
12/30/2020	710,000.00	3,000%	232,259.38	942,259.38	1,174,518.76
06/30/2021	•	-	221,609.38	221,609.38	•
12/30/2021	725,000.00	3.000%	221,609.38	946,609.38	1,168,218.76
06/30/2022	*	•	210,734.38	210,734.38	
12/30/2022	740,000.00	3,000%	210,734.38	950,734.38	1,161,468.76
06/30/2023	•	-	199,634.38	199,634.38	•
12/30/2023	755,000.00	3.000%	199,634.38	954,634.38	1,154,268.76
06/30/2024	•	-	188,309.38	188,309.38	
12/30/2024	775,000.00	3,000%	188,309.38	963,309.38	1,151,618.76
06/30/2025	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	176,684.38	176,684.38	
12/30/2025	795,000.00	3.000%	176,684.38	971,684.38	1,148,368.76
	773,000.00		164,759.38	164,759.38	
06/30/2026	820,000,00	3,000%	164,759.38	984,759.38	1,149,518.70
12/30/2026	820,000.00	3.00070	152,459.38	152,459.38	
06/30/2027	445,000,00	3.000%	152,459.38	997,459.38	1,149,918.76
12/30/2027	845,000.00	3,00076	139,784.38	139,784.38	, ,
06/30/2028		3.000%	139,784.38	1,009,784.38	1,149,568.76
12/30/2028	870,000.00	3,000%	126,734.38	126,734.38	•,•••
06/30/2029				1,021,734.38	1,148,468.76
12/30/2029	895,000.00	3.000%	126,734,38	113,309.38	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
06/30/2030	•		113,309.38	1,038,309.38	1,151,618.76
12/30/2030	925,000.00	3,000%	113,309.38		1,151,010.11
06/30/2031	-	•	99,434.38	99,434.38	1,153,868.76
12/30/2031	955,000.00	3.000%	99,434.38	1,054,434.38	1,122,000.71
06/30/2032	- ,	•	85,109.38	85,109.38	1.160.010.76
12/30/2032	990,000.00	3.125%	85,109.38	1,075,109.38	1,160,218.70
06/30/2033	-	-	69,640.63	69,640.63	
12/30/2033	1,025,000.00	3.125%	69,640.63	1,094,640.63	1,164,281.20
06/30/2034	•	-	53,625.00	53,625.00	
12/30/2034	1,060,000.00	3.250%	53,625.00	1,113,625.00	1,167,250.00
06/30/2035	-,,-	•	36,400.00	36,400.00	
12/30/2035	1,100,000.00	3,250%	36,400.00	1,136,400.00	1,172,800.0
	1,100,000.00	•	18,525.00	18,525.00	
	1 140 000 00	3.250%		1,158,525.00	1,177,050.0
				\$22,355,764.95	
06/30/2036 12/30/2036 Total	1,140,000.00 \$16,500,000.00	3.250%	18,525.00 18,525.00 \$5,855,764.95	1,158,525.00	1,177,
ond Year Dollars					\$188,730
verage Life					11.438 Year
verage Coupon					3.10272089
					3.03451029
let Interest Cost (NIC					3.01279159
rue Interest Cost (TIC					2.92868859
Bond Yield for Arbitra				And the second s	3.06149339
il Inclusive Cost (Ale	C)				5.001.1555
RS Form 8038				~;	
					2.96173149
Net Interest Cost		The second secon			11.278 Year

Series2017 Pricing | SINGLE PURPOSE | 5/23/2017 | 11:14 AM

S&P Global Ratings

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Aurora, Illinois; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Blake E Yocom, Chicago (1) 312-233-7056; blake.yocom@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Jessica Akey, Chicago 312-233-7068; jessica.akey@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Aurora, Illinois; General Obligation

Credit Profile						
US\$16.5 mil GO bnds due	12/30/2036					
Long Term Rating		AA/:	Stable		New	
Aurora installment contra	ct & debt certs					
Long Term Rating		AA-/	Stable		Affirmed	
Aurora GO						
Long Term Rating		AA/S	Stable		Affirmed	

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to Aurora, Ill.'s series 2017 general obligation (GO) bonds. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on the city's existing GO debt and our 'AA-' long-term rating on the city's existing GO debt certificates. The outlook on all ratings is stable.

The series 2017 bonds are a GO of the city, secured by unlimited ad valorem property taxes. Bond proceeds will be used for a fire station project and other purposes.

The rating on the existing debt certificates is one notch below the GO bond rating due to the limited nature of the security, which consists of legally available funds without a debt service levy. The city pays its debt certificates from a specific special service area property tax levy.

The rating reflects our assessment of the city's:

- Adequate economy, with projected per capita effective buying income (EBI) at 90.4% and market value per capita of \$47,280, though that benefits from access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA);
- Strong management, with "good" financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology:
- Adequate budgetary performance, with break-even operating results in the general fund but an operating deficit at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2015;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2015 of 21% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 63.2% of total governmental fund expenditures and 7.1x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Very weak debt and contingent liability position, with debt service carrying charges at 8.9% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 78.1% of total governmental fund revenue, as well as a large pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) obligation and the lack of a plan to sufficiently address the obligation; and
- Strong institutional framework score.

Adequate economy

We consider Aurora's economy adequate. The city, with an estimated population of 203,707, is in DuPage, Kane, and Kendall counties about 35 miles west of downtown Chicago. It is in the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin MSA, which we

consider to be broad and diverse. It has a projected per capita EBI of 90.4% of the national level and per capita market value of \$47,280. Overall, market value grew by 5.0% over the past year to \$9.6 billion in 2016. The weight-averaged unemployment rate of the counties was 5.5% in 2015.

Aurora is directly connected to downtown Chicago via the Metra commuter train. The city is part of the broad and diverse economy of the Chicago MSA and anchors the western suburbs. Equalized assessed valuation returned to growth in 2015 after an extended period of declines as a result of rebounding home values and the city's ongoing developments and mall expansion.

CAT announced layoffs of 800 workers at its plant in nearby unincorporated Montgomery. However, other positive job announcements, notably Amazon, more than cover any potential job losses in the near term.

Strong management

We view the city's management as strong, with "good" financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

Supporting our assessment of the city's strong management profile are its:

- Historical 10-year revenue and expenditure trends budget assumptions, with projections updated throughout the year and as part of the annual budget process;
- Monthly updates on budget-to-actual results to the city council;
- Formal, 10-year capital improvement plan (CIP) that management updates annually and identifies project funding sources, and that the council approves;
- Investment practices that adhere to the adopted investment policy with quarterly holdings and earnings reports to the council; and
- Fund balance policy that calls for the maintenance of the general fund balance at the greater of \$1 million plus 25% of the prior year's corporate tax levy or 10% of originally budgeted expenditures.

However, the city's debt management policy doesn't include specific debt limitations, and it doesn't maintain a long-range financial plan for the general fund.

Adequate budgetary performance

Aurora's budgetary performance is adequate, in our opinion. The city had break-even operating results in the general fund, but a deficit result across all governmental funds of negative 7.1% in fiscal 2015. General fund operating results of the city have been stable over the last three years, with results of 0.3% in 2014 and 0.9% in 2013.

We adjusted total government fund revenue to reflect transfers in from enterprise funds. We consider the general fund transfer in of \$650,000 as nonrecurring. For fiscal 2016 (Dec. 31), the city estimates a roughly \$1 million (0.6%) use of general fund balance, after budgeting for a \$3.2 million use of reserves. The fiscal 2017 budget includes a \$3.4 million (2%) use of reserves, similar to the prior year. Management expects to close fiscal 2017 with a better-than-budgeted use of reserves and we view this as likely. As a home-rule city, Aurora is not subject to property tax caps and can increase its sales-tax rate with a council vote. It usually abates a large portion of its property tax levy for debt service and uses revenues from other sources, especially gaming and real estate transfer taxes, to pay GO debt service. The city's primary general fund revenue sources are sales, income, and property taxes (40%). Management expects most

major revenue revenues to improve modestly in 2017. We expect total governmental funds to be status quo.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

Aurora's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2015 of 21% of operating expenditures, or \$34.2 million. The available fund balance includes \$22.6 million (13.8% of expenditures) in the general fund and \$11.5 million (7.0%) that is outside the general fund but legally available for operations.

In addition to the general fund balance, available reserves include fund balances in the capital improvements fund; the safety, health, and public enhancement fund; and the gaming tax fund. The city can use its gaming tax revenues, earned from a riverboat casino, for any purpose, but historically has used them for economic development initiatives, to abate GO debt service levies, and to fund cultural and educational programs. The city has consistently carried very strong reserves, which we believe it will likely maintain due to the close-to-break-even results in fiscal years 2016 and 2017.

Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, Aurora's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 63.2% of total governmental fund expenditures and 7.1x governmental debt service in 2015. In our view, the city has strong access to external liquidity if necessary.

At fiscal year-end 2015, Aurora had roughly \$142 million in cash and investments available for liquidity purposes. We believe its frequent issuance of GO and utility system debt supports its strong access to external liquidity. The city does not currently have any aggressive investments, with the majority of investments held in highly rated U.S. government agencies, state, and local government securities.

Very weak debt and contingent liability profile

In our view, Aurora's debt and contingent liability profile is very weak. Total governmental fund debt service is 8.9% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 78.1% of total governmental fund revenue.

The city has no additional debt plans at this time. Additionally, it has no alternative financing obligations.

In our opinion, a credit weakness is Aurora's large pension and OPEB obligation, without a plan in place that we think will sufficiently address it. Aurora's combined required pension and actual OPEB contributions totaled 15.7% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2015. Of that amount, 12.1% represented required contributions to pension obligations, and 3.6% represented OPEB payments. The city made 101% of its annual required pension contribution in 2015. The funded ratio of the largest pension plan is 47.4%.

Aurora contributes to three defined-benefit pension plans: the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund (IMRF; a multiemployer pension plan) and two single-employer pension plans that cover eligible police and fire personnel. The city provides OPEBs for eligible retirees. It is contributing based on an actuarially determined contribution which reflects the actuarial assumptions used by the Illinois Department of Insurance.

As of Dec. 31, 2015, the funded levels for the three pension plans were 81.3% for IMRF, 47.5% for the police plan, and 48.4% for the firefighters' plan. The OPEB net liability was \$222.1 million as of Dec. 31, 2015, and was 13.6% funded. The city set up an irrevocable trust fund in 2007, and pays more than the annual insurance premiums. It plans to

incrementally increase its contributions to 100% of the annual required amount to achieve an 80% funded ratio.

Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Illinois home-rule cities and villages is strong.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the rating will not change within the two-year outlook timeframe. We expect Aurora to maintain very strong budgetary flexibility and liquidity and near-break-even operations, all supported by strong management.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating after sustained improvement in the city's economic indicators, coupled with an improved debt and contingent liability profile, namely its pension obligations, while all other credit characteristics remain unchanged or improve.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating after a period of structural imbalance and if budgetary performance or flexibility were to weaken. The city has taken steps to reform its OPEBs, which will limit the accumulation of new liabilities; however, the high pension costs and low funded ratios could still be a source of future budgetary pressure.

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Incorporating GASB 67 And 68: Evaluating Pension/OPEB Obligations Under Standard & Poor's U.S. Local Government GO Criteria, Sept. 2, 2015

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.