

QBS: 2021 City Wide Resurfacing - Rankings of Top 3 Firms

Firm Name	EVALUATION CRITERIA (3 REVIEWERS)				Total Points	Rank
	Consultant Experience	Staff Capabilities	Schedule/ Availability	Adherence to RFQ		
	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(10 pts max per reviewer)		
	(90 pts max total)	(90 pts max total)	(90 pts max total)	(30 pts max total)		
HR Green, Inc.	88	77	90	26	281	1
Thomas Engineering Group, LLC (TEG)	76	78	90	30	274	2
Hampton, Lenzini and Renwick, Inc. (HLR)	79	77	90	25	271	3

Bid Number: QBS 21-14

Date Posted: 2/4/2021

Date Due: 2/26/2021

SOQs Received: 17

Firms that Responded: Baxter & Woodman, Inc., BLA, Inc., Chastain & Associates LLC, Engineering Services Group, Inc. (ESG), ESI Consultants, Ltd., Fehr Graham, Globetrotters Engineering Corporation, GRAEF, GSG Consultants, Inc. (GSG), Hampton, Lenzini and Renwick, Inc. (HLR), HR Green, Inc., IMEG, Primera Engineers, Ltd., Rempe Sharpe & Associates, Inc., Thomas Engineering Group, LLC (TEG), V3 Companies, Ltd., Wight & Company

The selection criteria and weightings for project selection are indicated below.

- Consultant Experience (30%). The consultant's general experience, stability, and experience on projects similar to the one under consideration. Experience with resurfacing projects is required.
- Staff Capabilities (30%). The education, experience, and expertise of the consultant's key employees.
- Schedule/ Availability (30%). Availability of the construction staff to perform the requested duties.
- Adherence to RFQ (10%). Quality of the consultant's submittal including, but not limited to, spelling, providing all the information requested, and providing correct/accurate information.