Firm Name			EVALUATIO	N CRITERIA					
	Technical Approach	Firm Experience	Specialized Expertise	Staff Abilities	Work Load Capacity	Past Performance	Total	Comments	
	(10 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(30 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(30 pts max)			
WBK									
Benesch	9	10	10	30	10	28	97	aesthetic enhancements	\times
ESI									
CBBEL									
Thomas									
HR Green	9	10	10	30	10	30	99		\times
V3									
Baxter & Woodman									
Patrick									
CDI									
Engineering Resources									
CMT	9	10	10	29	10	30	98		\times
HLR									
FEI									

	EVALUATION CRITERIA								
Firm Name	Technical Approach	Firm Experience	Specialized Expertise	Staff Abilities	Work Load Capacity	Past Performance	Total	Comments	TOP 3
	(10 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(30 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(30 pts max)			
WBK									
Benesch	10	8	10	26	10	29	93	excellent approach, clear specialized experience section	х
ESI									
CBBEL									
Thomas									
HR Green	8	8	8	28	10	29	91		х
V3									
Baxter & Woodman									
Patrick									
CDI									
Engineering Resources									
СМТ	10	10	8	26	10	29	93	worked on demo of this bridge	х
HLR									
FEI									

Firm Name	EVALUATION CRITERIA								
	Technical Approach	Firm Experience	Specialized Expertise	Staff Abilities	Work Load Capacity	Past Performance	Total	Comments In house IDOT cert bridge inspector, 3 or more feasible brid	
	(10 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(30 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(30 pts max)	<u> </u>		
WBK	10	9	9	27	9	29	93		
Benesch	10	9	9	28	10	29	95	Describes 5 design alternatives, jump in flood profile, discus	
ESI									
CBBEL									
Thomas									
HR Green									
V3									
Baxter & Woodman									
Patrick									
CDI									
Engineering Resources									
CMT	10	9	9	27	10	29	94	Mentions including turn lane in cross section because curre	х
HLR									
FEI									

			EVALUATIO	N CRITERIA					
Firm Name	Technical Approach (10 pts max)	Firm Experience	Specialized Expertise	Staff Abilities	Work Load Capacity	Past Performance (30 pts max)	Total	Comments	TOP 3
		(10 pts max)	(10 pts max)	(30 pts max)	(10 pts max)				
Benesch	10	10	10	30	10	30	100	History of Structure, Good Approach, Focus on Hydraulics	х
								Identified Need to Focus on Staging and Maintenance of	
								Traffic; Design of Similar Structures; Good Review of	
								Possible Bridge Alternatives; Comments on Utilities	
HR Green	9	10	10	30	10	30	99		х
СМТ	9	10	10	30	10	30	99	Good Approach, Focus on Maintenance of Traffic and	х
								Access; Mention Complete Streets, Comments on Utilities	
								Past Similar Projects in COA	

Summary

Firm Name	1	2	3	TOTAL	4 (tie breaker)	
WBK						
Benesch	97	93	95	285	100	385
ESI						
CBBEL						
Thomas						
HR Green	99	91	92	282	99	381
V3						
Baxter & Woodman						
Patrick						
CDI						
Engineering Resources						
CMT	98	93	94	285	99	384
HLR						
FEI						