

City of Aurora

44 East Downer Place Aurora, Illinois 60505 www.aurora-il.org

Legistar History Report

File Number: 17-00823

File ID: 17-00823 Type: Petition Status: Draft

Version: 2 General In Control: Planning &

Ledger #: Development Committee

File Created: 08/29/2017

File Name: Daniel and Sherry Byers / 577 S. Lake Street / Final Action:

Downzoning

Title: An Ordinance Amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning

Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, by downzoning Property located at 577 S. Lake Street from R-4 Two Family Dwelling District to R-3

One Family Dwelling District (Daniel and Sherry Byers - 17-00823 /

AU28/1-17.177-DZ - TV - Ward 4) (PUBLIC HEARING)

Notes:

Agenda Date: 01/11/2018

Agenda Number:

Sponsors: Enactment Date:

Attachments: Exhibit "A" Legal Description.pdf, Land Use Petition - Enactment Number:

2017-08-22 - 2017.177.pdf, Plat of Survey - 2017-08-22

- 2017.177.pdf, Property Research Sheets - 21353.pdf, Legistar History Report - 2017-12-19 - 2017.177.pdf, Findings of Facts - 2017-12-19 -

2017.177.pdf

Planning Case #: AU28/1-17.177-DZ

U28/1-17.177-DZ Hearing Date:

Drafter: tvacek@aurora-il.org Effective Date:

History of Legislative File

Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
1	Committee of the Whole Action Text: This Petit	09/05/2017 ion was Forwal	Forward to Planning Council rd to Planning Council to	DST Staff Council (Planning Council) the DST Staff Council	(Planning Council)		
1		•	placed on pending Mr. Minnella, seconded b	y Mrs. Morgan, that thi	s agenda item be pl	aced on	Pass

pending. The motion carried by voice vote.

Notes: Mr. Minnella made a motion to move this to Pending. Mrs. Morgan seconded the motion. The motion

carried unanimously.

1	DST Staff Counc		09/19/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		09/26/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		10/03/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		10/10/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		10/17/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		10/24/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		10/31/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		11/07/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc (Planning Counc		11/14/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		11/21/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		11/28/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		12/05/2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		12/12/2017	Forwarded	DST Staff Co (Planning Council)	ouncil	12/19/2017	Pass	
	Action Text:		Staff Council		-		agenda item be Forwarded 7. The motion carried by		
	Notes:	Mrs. Vacek said I would like to move this up to the general agenda for next week because it needs to be voted out next week. I make a motion to move this up to the general agenda. Mr. Broadwell seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.							
1	DST Staff Counc	il	12/19/2017	Forwarded	Planning		01/03/2018	Pass	
	(Planning Counc	il)			Commission				
	Action Text:		•	= -	•	ū	enda item be Forwarded to		
	the Planning Commission, on the agenda for 1/3/2018. The motion carried by voice vote. Notes: Mrs. Vacek said I make a motion to move this forward to the January 3rd Planning Commission. Mr.								
		Beneke se	conded the m	notion. The motion	carried unanimously.	•	•		
2	Planning Commis	ssion	01/03/2018	Forwarded	Planning & Developmen Committee		01/11/2018	Pass	
	Action Text:								
	Notes:								

The public input portion of the public hearing was opened. No witnesses came forward. The public input portion of the public hearing was closed.

Mrs. Vacek said staff would recommend approval of the Ordinance amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, by downzoning the property located at 577 S. Lake Street from R-4 to R-3.

MOTION OF APPROVAL WAS MADE BY: Mr. Bergeron

MOTION SECONDED BY: Mr. Chambers

AYES: Mrs. Anderson, Mr. Bergeron, Mr. Cameron, Mr. Chambers, Mr. Divine, Mrs. Head, Mr.

Reynolds

NAYS: None

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Is the proposal in accordance with all applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?
- Mr. Chambers said yes. I believe those are listed in the staff report.
- 2. Does the proposal represent the logical establishment and/or consistent extension of the requested classification in consideration of the existing land uses, existing zoning classifications, and essential character of the general area of the property in question?
- Mr. Reynolds said the proposal does represent the highest and best use of the property.
- 3. Is the proposal consistent with a desirable trend of development in the general area of the property in question, occurring since the property in question was placed in its present zoning classification, desirability being defined as the trend's consistency with applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?
- Mr. Reynolds said again, the proposal represents the highest and best use of the property.
- 4. Will the proposal maintain a compatible relationship with the traffic pattern and traffic volume of adjacent streets and not have an adverse effect upon traffic or pedestrian movement and safety in the general area of the property in question?
- Mrs. Anderson said there should be no change in traffic pattern in the area.
- 5. Will the proposal allow for the provision of adequate public services and facilities to the property in question and have no adverse effect upon existing public services and facilities?
- Mr. Chambers said once again, it will have no adverse effect. There should be no change to the area.
- 6. Does the proposal take adequate measures or will they be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to maximize pedestrian and vehicular circulation ease and safety, minimize traffic congestion, and not substantially increase the congestion in the public streets?
- Mrs. Head said adequate measures are already in place.
- 7a. Is the rezoning a consistent extension of the existing land uses, existing zoning classifications, and essential character of the general area?
- Mr. Reynolds said the proposal does represent the highest and best use of the property.
- 7b. Is the rezoning consistent with the desirable trend of development in the general area of the property in question, occurring since the property in question was placed in its present zoning classification, desirability being defined as the trend's consistency with applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?

Mr. Reynolds said again, the proposal represents the highest and best use of the property.

7c. Will the rezoning permit uses which are more suitable than uses permitted under the existing zoning classification?

Mrs. Anderson said yes.

Mrs. Vacek said this will next be heard at the Planning and Development Committee on Thursday, January 11, 2018, at 4:00 p.m. on the fifth floor of this building.

Aye: 7 At Large Bergeron, At Large Cameron, Aurora Twnshp Representative Reynolds, At Large Anderson, Fox Metro Representative Divine, Fox Valley Park District Representative Chambers and SD 129 Representative Head