

**QBS: Various Phase I Studies (Sullivan) - Rankings of Top 3 Firms**

Firm Name	EVALUATION CRITERIA (3 REVIEWERS)				Total Points	Rank
	Consultant Experience	Staff Capabilities	Technical Approach	Schedule		
	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(20 pts max per reviewer)	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(20 pts max per reviewer)		
	(90 pts max total)	(60 pts max total)	(90 pts max total)	(60 pts max total)		
Crawford, Murphy, & Tilly, Inc. (CMT)	80	51	81	54	266	1
Civiltech Engineering, Inc.	79	51	80	54	264	2
H.W. Lochner, Inc. (Lochner)	78	53	80	51	262	3

Bid Number: QBS 21-20

Date Posted: 4/1/2021

Date Due: 4/27/2021

SOQs Received: 19

Firms that Responded: Baxter & Woodman, Inc., BLA, Inc., Bowman Consulting Group, Ltd., Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL), Civiltech Engineering, Inc., Crawford, Murphy, & Tilly, Inc. (CMT), Engineering Enterprises, Inc. (EEI), ESI Consultants, Ltd., Fehr Graham, Globetrotters Engineering Corporation (GEC), H.W. Lochner, Inc. (Lochner), Hampton, Lenzini and Renwick, Inc. (HLR), Horner & Shifrin, Inc., HR Green, Inc., Kimley-Horn, Quigg Engineering Inc. (QEI), Stanley Consultants, Inc, Thomas Engineering Group, LLC (TEG), TranSystems

The selection criteria and weightings for project selection are indicated below.

- Consultant Experience (30%). The consultant's general experience, stability, and experience on projects similar to the one under consideration. Experience with multiple federally funded Phase I projects is essential.
- Staff Capabilities (20%). The education, experience, and expertise of the consultant's key employees.
- Technical Approach (30%). Project understanding and the consultant's approach to the planning, organizing, execution and management of the project effort.
- Schedule (20%). Quality of the consultant's schedule, including how expeditiously the work can be completed realistically.