

## City of Aurora

44 East Downer Place Aurora, Illinois 60505 www.aurora-il.org

## **Legistar History Report**

File Number: 15-00839

File ID:15-00839Type:OrdinanceStatus:ATS Review

Version: 3 General In Control: Planning &

Ledger #: Development Committee

File Created: 09/10/2015

File Name: West Aurora School Dist 129 / West Aurora High Final Action:

School / RZ/SU

Title: An Ordinance Establishing a Special Use Planned Development,

Approving the West Aurora High School Campus Plan Description and Amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, to an underlying zoning of P Park and Recreation District for the property located at 1201 W New York Street

Notes:

Agenda Date: 10/29/2015

Agenda Number:

Sponsors: Enactment Date:

Attachments: Exhibit "A" Legal Description 2015-10-19 Enactment Number:

-2015.164.pdf, Exhibit "B" Plan Description -

2015-10-22 - 2015 164.pdf, Property Research Sheet - 2015-10-21 - 2015-164.pdf, Land Use Petition and Supporting Documents - 2015-09-09 - 2015.164.pdf,

Legistar History Report - 2015-10-13 - 2015.164.pdf

Planning Case #: AU20/2-15.164-Rz/Su/Fpn Hearing Date:

## **History of Legislative File**

Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:

1 Committee of the Whole 09/15/2015 Forward to Planning DST Staff Council (Planning Council)

Action Text: This Petition was Forwarded to Planning Council to the DST Staff Council (Planning Council)

1 DST Staff Council 09/22/2015

(Planning Council)

Notes: Representatives Present: Pat Kelsey, Pat Callahan, and Pat Dacy

Mr. Kelsey said the Final Plan for West Aurora High School includes several additions that Pat Callahan will discuss in some detail, but they include an extension of a field house into an existing impervious area of parking lot and sidewalk on the east edge of the property. On the southeast corner of the property there is an additional facility being built in and around the theater related to storage. Then on the west end there is an infill on an existing parking lot between two wings of the school and

then in the courtyard to the east there is a small addition to the cafeteria facility. In addition, the School District is proposing 3 additions of parking, 1 at the corner of Spruce and Fordham, 1 on the 1303 W. Galena parcel in the southwest corner of the school parcels and then an additional lot in the northwest corner of the school site at the corner of Plum and Randall. The additional parking will bring the school facilities into compliance along with management of the striping and re-striping of the existing on-street parking at the school. The School District recognizes parking is a significant neighborhood issue and has made significant attempts to insure that parking was addressed. Additionally and not shown on the Final Plan, there will be 2 geothermal fields. One will be in the field to the northeast corner just north of the field house addition and the other will be in the practice fields behind the stadium. There is quite a bit going to be going on there over the year to 18 months and the District wants to be a good neighbor so they've created the additional parking. Pat can go over the development plans themselves.

Mr. Wiet said the parking lots will have houses in between them? There will not be one contiguous lot?

Mr. Callahan said you mean the one on Fordham and Spruce?

Mr. Wiet said yes.

Mr. Callahan said there will be one home.

Mr. Wiet said is that required in the future they can be joined as one contiguous parking lot?

Mr. Callahan said correct. We have only shown properties in which the District has control. There continues to be ongoing conservation around the High School and we are looking at the long view is all I can really tell you. There are 3 main additions and the 4th is a very small extension of the kitchen in the rear and we are not expanding the kitchen, we are expanding the wear washing area, so we have to replace a cold storage and essentially a small office area, locker room area, and recapture that space. We don't have elevations of that, but that sits on the inside of the courtyard. It is going to be a simple brick veneer enclosure. What we are looking at here is the field house addition on the far east side of the property. The building exterior will be similar in character to the red field house as we call it, which is the one that's currently there in the northeast corner. It will be a masonry enclosure around the perimeter. There's been some discussions about using a precast with a brick veneer so the exterior appearance would be brick, kalwall along the top bank, again in keeping with kind of the vernacular of the High School that currently exists on the south addition. The white kalwall was used so we are kind of trying to marry all the additions together. This addition is slightly under 20,000 square feet and will incorporate a 1 story bar on the south side of that essentially serves as the locker rooms and we'll be modifying the athletic entrance a little bit. What's now outside stairway will be gradually graded up so we can eliminate stairs and improve accessibility in the facility. The existing choral room, which is the small addition that was done several years ago that's on the south side of the auditorium, is going to get a set building room that can double as a small black box theater for very small performances and that will be situated just west of the existing choral room and just south of the existing auditorium hallway. On the plans we submitted to you, we have to make some further adjustments now that we have the final plats and corner re-pinned, so we will be sending those adjustments to you. We are not planning on building them in the easement, but again, similar in character, metal panel and masonry cladding on that addition so very much in keeping with what is already there. Then on the top of that is our connecting link. On the far west side of the property, the 1 story D-Wing as we refer to it just to the east of the tennis courts and the original building, the 2 story building, don't have a connecting corridor. It has created some internal circulation problems for the school, so really the idea behind this was to create a connecting link between those two additions to ease internal traffic, but instead of creating another courtyard, we are enclosing that area and creating some more academic space, project based learning space, larger spaces for students to collaborate and those kinds of things inside that alcove there.

Mr. Kelsey said the site has a stormwater management Master Plan that was completed per the city's request. Underground detention is being provided in the driveway and park isle spaces on the east end near the east addition, so just north of the field house addition.

Mrs. Vacek said I also had talked to you guys a little earlier about there are several properties that are zoned R-1 and R-2, so I'll be sending you an additional Land Use Petition to actually rezone those to P so they are zoned the same. I do have a meeting with WBK today that we will be going over some formatting comments so I can actually do some content review on it. I need some of those format comments actually to make my review. We'll be meeting with you later on today.

Mr. Feltman said we are just reviewing it, so we'll get out comments probably next week or so.

Mr. Callahan said I know the Fire Department is not here, but one of things that we were able to work out is the locations of the buildings that have the highest point of 42 feet, which is for their largest apparatus and the base in which that needs. We'll have the 26 feet, which is on the front of the building. All other areas have the proposed 20 because the areas of the buildings are much lower and quite honestly it is about all we can provide around those perimeters, but we do have the Fire Access Plan and we'll be happy to meet with the Fire Department if necessary.

Mr. Sieben said and just one other comment that the parking lot down on W. Galena that we had a little mini-neighborhood meeting a month or two ago, but it looks like you are proposing to meet all required setbacks. Is that correct?

Mr. Kelsey said that is correct.

Mr. Callahan said I guess the last part that I'd like to emphasize is we've characterized the parking again as on-site adjacent, which is along the property line and then off-site in trying to create and insure that everyone understands we are doing our best to provide as many parking spaces as possible. With those in combination, we do satisfy the requirements of the zoning code.

**DST Staff Council** (Planning Council) 09/29/2015

Notes:

Mrs. Vacek said I got my format comments out last week. I actually met with the engineering company and went over those, so I'm just waiting for a resubmittal on the format comments and then I will take a look at any other additional comments. I addition, I'll be writing the Plan Description this week and getting that out to the Petitioner.

Mr. Feltman said we did a brief review and met with the engineer and verbally gave our comments, but I think it might be best if we send out formal comments, so we'll address that and get formal comments

Mr. Seiben said this will be going to the October 21st Planning Commission.

**DST Staff Council** (Planning Council) 10/06/2015

Notes:

Mrs. Vacek said I'll be writing their Plan Description this week and getting this completed and then we'll be moving forward to the October 21st Planning Commission.

Mr. Krientz said I'll be sending comments out them on the education office and on some of the plan stuff too. I just looked at them today, so I will be sending some comments.

Mrs. Vacek said I'll be voting this out next week, so if you have any conditions, if you can get those to me I'd appreciate it. Even if it is a memo of comments, I can add those as a condition.

**DST Staff Council** (Planning Council) 10/13/2015 Forwarded

Planning

10/21/2015

Pass

Commission

Action Text: A motion was made by Mr. Sieben, seconded by Mr. Feltman, that this agenda item be Forwarded to

the Planning Commission, on the agenda for 10/21/2015. The motion carried by voice vote.

Notes: Representative Present: Pat Kelsey

> Mr. Sieben said we actually will be voting this out today to go to the October 21st Planning Commission meeting.

Ms. Phifer said we sent comments.

Mr. Sieben said we sent comments, I think, Friday.

Ms. Phifer said are there any questions or comments on those comments?

Mr. Kelsey said Gary, we started looking at the overlap and it looks like we are going to end up probably with one additional hydrant in front so we'll cite that because there is no double overlap in the...

Mr. Krientz said the existing construction...

Mr. Kelsey said no, in the new construction where the little theater closet comes out, it just misses the corner of that and then in the center of the internal construction there is something that is shaped a little like a little barbell that is just missing coverage there, so we're addressing those. Then as far as sprinkling goes, all of the new additions are going to be sprinkled. The School District does not have the funds to sprinkle the entire High School, but there is no real renovation of the existing buildings, so at this time there would be no additional sprinkling. Studio GC is completing a plan today that shows the existing sprinkler conditions in the building and we'll forward that to you as soon as we get it from them

Mr. Krientz said I mentioned that in the comments that is something you need to address with the Regional Office of Education.

Mr. Kelsey said which they are there today.

Mr. Krientz said so you were aware of it when they came because that would be a question they might be asking.

Mr. Kelsey said yes. We are there with that. In engineering, we forwarded the right-of-way dedication to the School District and verbally it is not acceptable, but they are just waiting for a written comment from you guys.

Mr. Feltman said Tim did send out comments, I thought, on Thursday.

Mr. Kelsey said I have not seen Tim's comments. I'll go back and look.

Mr. Feltman said he might have sent to Chris Lindley.

Mr. Kelsey said Chris was out, so I'll search them down.

Mr. Krientz said Pat when you resubmit, submit a Fire Plan itself because there wasn't a per se Fire Plan page in there that we got that I was looking through.

Mr. Kelsey said it got redone and resubmitted, so I think it just didn't make it to you.

Mr. Krientz said it doesn't have any dimensions on it too, so address with your guys to have dimensions put on there.

Mr. Kelsey said we can do that. Tracey sent me a question on the parking spaces after we submitted the new total information and I forwarded that to Studio GC so I don't have an answer on that, but obviously the School District wants to provide as much parking as they possibly can to get out of the neighborhood to the extent that they can. They are committed to getting that done.

Ms. Phifer said and you are going to get comments. Stu Whitt has the draft Plan Description.

Mr. Kelsey said I thought she was going to send that to me too, but I didn't...

Ms. Phifer said that wasn't part of her e-mail?

Mr. Kelsey said there was no draft plan attached to mine.

Ms. Phifer said the Plan Description?

Mr. Kelsey said the Plan Description.

Ms. Phifer said she said she sent it in a Word doc and in a PDF.

Mr. Kelsey said I'll go back and look through it again.

Ms. Phifer said if you don't have it, let me know, but she is out today and I can look in the file and get it to you. It is a fairly short document.

Mr. Kelsey said we have a whole bunch of things for the School District to consider adding and we'll get that back to you as quickly as possible.

Mr. Sieben said I make a motion to move this forward to the October 21st Planning Commission. Mr. Feltman seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

2 Planning Commission 10/21/2015 Forwarded Planning & 10/29/2015 Pass

Development Committee

Action Text: A motion was made by Mr. Pilmer, seconded by Mr. Cameron, that this agenda item be Forwarded to

the Planning & Development Committee, on the agenda for 10/29/2015. The motion carried.

Notes: See attachment for Items 15-00839 and 15-00947.

Aye: 9 At Large Bergeron, At Large Cameron, At Large Cole, At Large Pilmer,

Aurora Twnshp Representative Reynolds, At Large Divine, At Large

Engen, At Large Truax and SD 204 Representative Duncan

An Ordinance establishing a Special Use Planned Development, approving the West

Aurora High School Campus Plan Description and amending Ordinance Number 3100,
being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the zoning map attached thereto, to an
underlying zoning of P Park and Recreation District for the property located at 1201 W.

New York Street (Whitt Law LLC – 15-00839 / AU20/4-15.164-Rz/Su/Fpn – TV – Ward 4)
(PUBLIC HEARING)

15-00947 A Resolution approving a Final Plan for West Aurora High School Campus located at 1201 W. New York Street (Whitt Law LLC – L15-00947 – AU20/4-15.164-Rz/Su/Fpn – TV – Ward 4)

4	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: The first item is
5	an Ordinance Establishing a Special Use Planned
6	Development, approving the West Aurora High
7	School Campus Plan Description and Amending
8	Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning
9	Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, to
10	an underlying zoning of P Park and Recreation
11	District for the property located at 1201 West
12	New York Street. And this is a public hearing.
13	MS. VACEK: Can we also consider
14	15-00839 with this?
15	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Yes, we can.
16	Shall I read this in?
17	MS. VACEK: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: It is a
19	resolution approving a final plan for West Aurora
20	Campus located at 1201 West New York Street.
21	MS. VACEK: Thank you. The subject
22	property is generally located at 1201 New York
23	Street and is the West Aurora High School Campus
24	as a longtime grandfathered use being dedicated

1 in 1953.

West Aurora High School in its present location was originally built in 1953. The Plan Description that is being proposed, which under separate action being reviewed and approved concurrently, includes one modification to the Aurora Zoning Ordinance. The modification is to allow Education Services and associated uses as a permitted use in the AZO, and they are a Special Use in the P District.

The Plan Description also establishes setbacks for the West Aurora High School Campus. The setbacks are being established at 30 feet for Galena Boulevard and the Petitioner is proposing 5 feet for Randall Road and Fordham Avenue. All other public right of way will be established at 0 feet. The internal setbacks shall be established for the interior side yard as 10 to 20 feet as determined on the Final Plan and the rear yard setbacks as 0 feet.

I will touch a little bit about the final plan proposal and then I will turn it over to the Petitioner. He can go into a little bit more detail of that.

T	The final proposal consists of the
2	construction of 4 building additions and 3 new
3	parking lots on the Campus. There will be a
4	reconfiguration of one of the parking lots along
5	Commonwealth, and this will bring the total
6	parking spaces for the campus up to 827 parking
7	spaces. This is a net total of 164 parking
8	spaces.
9	The last thing I just want to touch on is
10	that for the Plan Description there are two
11	conditions: The first being that if they are to
12	have video boards, that those video boards would
13	not be visible from the public right-of-way and
14	the last one would be that we ask that the Plan
15	Description be modified for the setbacks along
16	Randall Road and Fordham Road to be 10 feet.
17	With that I'll turn it over to the
18	Petitioner unless you have any questions for me.
19	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Questions for
20	Staff?
21	MR. WITT: Good evening. My name is
22	Stuart Whitt. My address is 70 South
23	Constitution Drive, Aurora, Illinois 60506. I am
24	an attorney for the Board of Education of West

1	Aurora School District 129 and the Petitioner in
2	this matter, which involves the establishment of
3	a special use planned development for proposed
4	additions and renovations to the West Aurora High
5	School Campus located at 1201 West New York
6	Street in Aurora.
7	With me this evening is Angie Smith, the
8	Assistant Superintendent For Operations at the
9	school district together with Dr. Craig, District
10	Superintendent. Dr. Craig will be making a brief
11	statement this evening. He will be followed by
12	Pat Kelsey, a principal at the engineering firm
13	of Wills Burke Kelsey Associates, who will
14	discuss the Final Plan for this project.
15	Mr. Kelsey will then be followed by Mr. Pat
16	Callahan, a principal at Studio GC, Inc., and
17	lead architect for this project, who will discuss
18	the architectural plans.
19	With that we can be sworn.
20	(Witnesses duly sworn.)
21	DR. CRAIG: My name is Jeff Craig,
22	and I am the superintendent of Schools for
23	West Aurora School District, 129. The address is
24	80 South River Street, Aurora, Illinois, 60506.

Т	we are here tonight to present the scope of
2	projects of West Aurora High School.
3	As you know, the public spoke definitively
4	last April with a positive referendum vote.
5	Because these are tax dollars, we are committed
6	to responding to the Staff and the students and
7	the community at West High School.
8	The proposed additions will provide
9	adequate instructional space for the programs and
LO	while providing safety, efficiency, and capacity
L <b>1</b>	on the west side of our campus.
L2	The city of Aurora, the surrounding
L3	neighbors and the school district share a mutual
L4	desire to minimize side-street parking by our
L5	students by providing on-campus parking for
L6	students, staff, and attendees.
L <b>7</b>	The project attends to all these identified
L8	needs. Thank you.
L9	MR. KELSEY: My name is Pat Kelsey, 8
20	East Galena Boulevard, Suite 402 Aurora.
21	This is the final plan for the West Aurora
22	High School and the subject of tonight's hearing.
23	The campus is about 46.47 acres in size, just a
24	little over 2 million square feet. The total lot

coverage will be about 936,000 square feet. So
we are just about in the upper 40 percent of lot
coverage. The high school facilities include the
high school and its 8/19 renovations and
additions that have occurred since 1953:
football stadium, track facility within the
stadium, baseball, softball, soccer fields,
practice fields, parking lots, traffic aisles,
and traffic movements.

Additionally, there are some new parcels that have been added at Randall and Plum, some additional parcels were added recently as well as parcels where there were lots, surface lots, parking lots, already existing along Commonwealth and Spruce and then a new lot at Spruce and Fordham.

As Tracy indicated, the total number of parking spaces shown in the final plan is 827 spaces and that's a net increase of 164 spaces. The total new building additions is 8,566 square feet and 61,566 square feet of parking lot additions. This is 1.61 acres and requires new stormwater management.

In order to meet that, the school district

is proposing on the east end you can see the large field house addition, just off Commonwealth where the new drive is.

Underneath the drive to the north, we are proposing putting in .62 acre feet of stormwater storage to alleviate any peak discharge downstream and alleviate any potential for flooding of basements from the new improvements on the campus.

The general direction of flow off of this site, though not entirely, is from west to east. But because it is such a broad gently sloping area, it's almost all just surface runoff of impervious surfaces. There is a great deal of infiltration which occurs within the facility.

Additionally, there is another underground storage facility underneath the Field of Dreams that was put in several years ago to account for stormwater management that was necessary there. And then in front of the school there is a stormwater detention basin off of what was New York Street in front of the school.

So we have provided stormwater detention in all of the areas of the facility that have run

1	off, and we are exceeding the requirements of the
2	Kane County and City of Aurora stormwater
3	ordinance for storage.
4	There are four additions to the building
5	that Pat Callahan will go into detail, the
6	largest of which is the field house, and there
7	are significant parking reconfigurations and
8	drive aisle reconfigurations in those locations,
9	and we are currently working with the Fire
10	Department to get a 26-foot face-to-face coverage
11	for an aerial ladder truck all along the field
12	house to the north.
13	So we have met that requirement with this
14	plan, but we have not resubmitted that fire plan.
15	But we will be doing that early next week.
16	With that, I will turn it over to
17	Mr. Callahan to speak to the building additions.
18	MR. CALLAHAN: Good evening. Pat
19	Callahan, 223 West Jackson, Chicago, Illinois,
20	60606.
21	So I will start with the building
22	elevation. The first elevation you see is the
23	fieldhouse, which is located in the northeast
24	corner of the facility, due east of the existing

fieldhouse, and the student drop off parking lot is where this is going.

The addition is approximately 18,800 square feet. The architecture is complimentary to the existing structure and having a masonry facade along with translucent window panels, similar to those on the 1998 addition off of Galena Avenue.

Moving along Constitution to the south, we are proposing a theater addition or a small set building end and black box theater located on your plan at approximately 3200 square feet.

Again, similar in architecture to the adjacent coral room with a masonry facade. And this will be a more utilitarian addition with very few windows because of the nature of the activities that occur inside.

On that same sheet, on the top elevation directly below where you see it says Elevations West High, that is the connecting link, which for those of you who are familiar with the high school, it's the old Student Services Entry on the west side of the campus due south of the existing shop area.

That area there will be a connecting link

1 between the D wing and the B wing to allow for better internal traffic flow for students during passing periods.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And in lieu of creating an additional courtyard at the high school, that in-fill area, adjacent to that new corridor will be utilized for flexible classroom space to deal with student-capacity issues.

The last addition, which we do not show an elevation for is a small addition adjacent to the existing kitchen. It's really an infill inside one of the courtyards. And the purpose is to expand the washing for the materials -- plates, silver, pots and pans and things, etcetera, inside the kitchen.

And the station is too small currently and we need to enlarge that. So that small addition really will not be seen by the public unless vou're in the cafeteria. But that's more of a utilitarian addition for that purpose.

I will briefly review the landscape plan. The landscape plan for the city ordinance was revised and resubmitted. And we are essentially identifying plantings around the parking lot

1	located at Plum and Randall, the parking lot on
2	the corner of Spruce and Fordham, the parkway
3	plantings along the east side of the new
4	fieldhouse, and then foundation plantings and
5	some additional trees at the black box on the
6	south side of the property adjacent to the
7	theater.
8	And then lastly plantings around the
9	perimeter of the proposed parking lot, along
10	Galena, just west of the existing drive.
11	And that concludes my portion of the
12	presentation this evening. We will be happy to
13	entertain any questions.
<b>L</b> 4	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Are there
15	questions for the Petitioners?
16	COMMISSIONER COLE: I have a question
17	regarding the ingress and egress to the parking
18	lot at the corner of Plum and Randall. The
19	entrance access that's to the south of Plum off
20	of Randall, is that a right in, right out only?
21	MR. KELSEY: That is currently a full
22	access and not a right in, right out. That's a
23	two-lane street at this point in time. And so in
24	the future if there were widening of Randall the

Т	traffic warrants would be taken into account.
2	COMMISSIONER COLE: There is no
3	street there. That was a residential property.
4	MR. KELSEY: Correct. But we are
5	putting in a full-width driveway. So it would
6	exist out onto
7	COMMISSIONER COLE: So it would be
8	full access?
9	MRS. COLE: And it will remain a four
10	way stop at Plum and Randall?
11	MR. KELSEY: That's correct. So that
12	entrance has been moved as far south on that leg
13	as is possible.
14	COMMISSIONER COLE: Do you think that
15	will back traffic up quite a bit or no?
16	MR. KELSEY: I don't believe that
17	will back traffic up quite a bit. There are
18	several time periods during the day when traffic,
19	other than students coming to school and leaving
20	school in the afternoon, when there are delays in
21	the surrounding area of the high school. It's an
22	urban high school in a residential neighborhood.
23	And they dissipate in 10 to 15 minutes. So it's
24	something that we have worked through with

1	Staff with engineering staff and future
2	considerations, if and when Randall is widened
3	will probably have that consideration. But when
4	it's a two lane street, there is typically not a
5	right in right out.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: The new
7	parking areas like this one, is there a specific
8	designated use for it? Is it staff parking, is
9	it student parking? And the same with all the
LO	other ones around.
L <b>1</b>	MR. CALLAHAN: At this point they
L2	have identified Randall and Plum to be student
L3	parking. For the most part, most of the parking
L <b>4</b>	we're seeing here really is student parking with
L5	the possible exception of the parking adjacent to
L <b>6</b>	the existing additional staff parking.
L <b>7</b>	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Are there other
L8	questions for the Petitioners?
L9	COMMISSIONER PILMER: If the
20	Petitioner could talk about enrollment trends at
21	the high school for the next 3 to years?
22	MR. CRAIG: We have looked at our
23	cohort groups from K to 12. Right now we are
24	currently at the high school. We are about 3743

1	kids, plus or minus a few. We look to be
2	relatively steady. Don't look to see any
3	increase. We would be flat to some decrease.
4	Roughly anywhere from 15 to 50 students over the
5	next 3 to 4 years. So that's our anticipation,
6	but we are a fairly mobile community and we are
7	trying to look at basic trends within our
8	enrollment right now.
9	But we seem pretty flat for the next 5 to
10	10 years, unless there is a general turnover in
11	the community.
12	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: As long as you're
13	talking about student enrollment, do you have any
<b>L</b> 4	way of tracking or any idea how many West Aurora
15	students park over there on campus day?
16	MR. CRAIG: A lot more park than we
<b>L7</b>	have spaces for. We have, as I said, a little
18	over 3740 students. We roughly have 200 parking
19	spaces for student opportunities right now.
20	So if you think of a class of 900 students
21	for each of the 4 classes, even if we wanted to
22	offer it to just to our seniors and assuming only
23	half of them drove, we are still short. So if we
24	could provide them this, this provides another

1	160, 170 spaces currently. We are getting closer
2	to allowing most of our eligible seniors to be
3	able to drive.
4	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Are the parking
5	lots restricted?
6	MR. CRAIG: Just not enough of them.
7	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: But it's not like
8	this is not the senior parking lot, this is the
9	junior parking lot?
10	MR. CRAIG: I'm not sure if it's a
11	lottery they use. But they try to prioritize and
12	it's a first come and first serve and they pay
13	for the opportunity. Obviously we restrict where
14	our staff parks to try and get them somewhere
15	near the bidding.
16	After that, as I said earlier, some of the
17	concerns in fact I think it was my first week
18	on the job that I was approached by several of
19	our community members and alderman asking to find
20	some relief on side-street parking.
21	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Other questions
22	for the Petitioners? If not, this a public
23	hearing. I'm going to open the public hearing.
24	And those who are interested in making a comment

or asking a question, it's your opportunity to do so. Please remember that if you hear something already that the last person before you has said, we are good listeners, we take notes. And you can say I agree with the points. We don't have to say everything over and over.

We will have people who have questions or comments address them to the Commission. And when the public hearing part is over, we will ask the Petitioners or the staff to respond to the questions or comments that are raised.

We do need to have you sworn in if you wish to speak at the public hearing. So if everybody who wishes to address us wants to stand up now, we will swear you in.

(Witnesses sworn.)

CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Two things: One is that if you want to speak, we would very much like you to sign in so we have your name. And also since we have a court reporter, we will ask you to spell your names because it makes it easier. So if people want to start at the front and come up and address us, let's go ahead and do that.

Т	MR. LUSK: H1, I'M JOET LUSK.
2	I reside at 1035 Spruce Street, which is one
3	block of West High. And I got a couple of
4	concerns about how they do the construction
5	operation. One thing is we got avenue from
6	Fordham to Commonwealth. They just burned down a
7	house from the Fire Department, and they put dirt
8	in, and now we are talking about putting a
9	parking lot in there. I don't see why they
10	didn't do a Special Use Permit and try having
11	gravel rather than put dirt in there.
12	Everybody is trying to save money. But I
13	have a problem with them putting dirt in. Now
14	they're going to take the dirt out and put gravel
15	in if this is all approved. And they did this on
16	another house that was torn down on Fordham and
17	the other two houses on Plum Street. So they did
18	the same thing. I think you're doing double
19	work. And why wasn't a special permit issued to
20	try to get it done. I don't know.
21	The other thing is the house that they
22	burned down, they are looking at the future and
23	everything like that. This house a year ago was
24	in terrible condition. And the realtor or

1	whoever owned it, rehabbed the whole house and
2	now West Aurora bought it. Now they spent
3	thousands of dollars on this house. They put a
4	new garage door on the garage, burned the house
5	down, tore the garage down, and I just think it's
6	a terrible waste of money. Nothing before
7	thinking about this.
8	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: We will ask for
9	answers to your concerns.
10	MR. LUSK: The avenue from Spruce
11	Street to Commonwealth, there is one street and
12	only one house now that advised them of taking
13	that whole avenue of taking that street between
14	Fordham and Commonwealth on Spruce.
15	If you're looking for parking you're only
16	talking about one more house. So I think the
17	lady probably will be selling eventually. But to
18	close off that property from Spruce to
19	Commonwealth, that would be good thing for
20	everybody and be more parking for all the
21	students.
22	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Thank you. Next
23	person?
24	MR. ARMSTRONG: My name is Michael

1	Armstrong. I am at Five North Commonwealth in
2	Aurora, Commonwealth and Galena. And my question
3	is with the rezoning, how is this going to affect
4	property value?
5	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Okay. We will
6	see if we can get an answer to that.
7	MR. SCHINDLBECK: Paul Schindlbeck,
8	103 East Timberlake Trail in Oswego. But I also
9	rent out the house at 406 Randall. And
10	Schindlbeck Trust owns some of the property south
11	and one lot east of the parking lot at Plum and
12	Randall. And I have a question on how the use of
13	the empty land would be affected by the rezoning.
14	And more importantly, it appears that we
15	own parcel 15, 20, 201, 005, which appears to be
16	in the parking lot at Plum and Randall. So I
17	think one of our lots is in your parking lot
18	because you own the land on either side of it,
19	but not the one in the middle. I would like to
20	point that out.
21	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: We will get an
22	answer to that.
23	MR. STRIEDL: My name is Frank Striedl
24	I live at 1309 West Galena. And I live right

Т	next door to the property that's going to be put
2	in a parking lot there. I am concerned with the
3	zoning, how close, whatever, they're going to put
4	in like bushes or a fence, which we were promised
5	a fence not bushing. Because who is going to
6	take care of the bushes? It's not the school.
7	And so just concerned how close they are
8	going to get to my property.
9	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: So you're
10	adjacent to the parking lot plan?
11	MR. STRIEDL: Yes, I am west of the
12	parking lot. That's my main concern.
13	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Thank you.
<b>1</b> 4	MR. VANCE: My name is Tim Vance. I
15	live at 1119 Plum Street. I just have one
16	question: Will this alleviate the parking
17	problem? That's all I need to know.
18	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: That's a good
19	question.
20	MR. FOX: My name is Terry Fox,
21	F-O-X. I live at Three South Gladstone. And I
22	am directly across from the proposed parking lot
23	off Galena. I appreciate the opportunity to
24	address this Body

have been before you. I appreciate the work that
you do.

Also before I start being negative, tell the school that I appreciate the quality of kids who walk by my house. We have lived in the area and at that house since 1994. Kids are always courteous. The cross-country runners run by at 4:00 or 5:00 in the morning. They are always polite. We have they never had a problem with the kids. We have problems with the teachers coming over and smoking cigarettes at noon in our yard, but that's a different story.

I wanted to just respond to, I think, a little bit of political hyperbole that was stated at the start of this by the superintendent, which is the referendum gives the school district the power and the voters said "build".

I don't think the voter said "build".

I think the voters said: Let's increase ACT scores and quality of education. The school sprawled, Western from Plum Street -- not Plum Street, but Calumet on west, has gone on unabated since 1994 and before when I moved in.

They're building closer to the road,

building farther to the west. Throwing money at problems is not going to solve the issue, which is the quality of education.

With that said, let me get to the specifics. I'm only going to address the request for the change in the zoning designation and address the parking lot issue. And I will take the parking-lot issue first. I have a problem because there may be lights coming in my house, coming in my dining room at night when they have sporting events. I assume that the parking-lot will not be otherwise heavy used.

If they put proper screening and if they build it where they said there are going to build it and have the adequate setback, I don't have a problem with it.

If it's got to be built, it's got to be built across the street from my house, at least if they will put in appropriate screening and make it nice, I guess I don't have a basis to complain much.

What I do have a basis to complain about is the school district trying to shoehorn this into a Parks and Rec designation. And why they are

Т	doing this is patently clear. And it's patently
2	clear because they don't have any parking lot
3	restrictions if they can get the zoning
4	designation changed. And so you guys will not
5	have the opportunity if you abdicate your powers
6	here to the school district to rezone this whole
7	parcel to ever review parking-lot issues on the
8	west side of Aurora School District 129 at the
9	high school. Because they can put as many
10	parking lots in as they want to.
11	Specifically Title 6.6.1 of the zoning
12	ordinance is entitled: Paved Parks and
13	Recreation Districts. 6.2 states that the intent
14	or purpose of this zoning designation is intended
15	to regulate public recreational areas which
16	provide active recreational opportunities within
17	the City of Aurora.
18	These facilities offer multiple programs,
19	programmed activities, including festivals,
20	concerts, and other recreational and
21	nonrecreational events. Doesn't sound like a
22	school.
23	If you go throughout the zoning code as is
24	currently drafted, you will find numerous

examples where schools are explicitly mentioned.
By the explicit mention of schools, I would
propose to you that the legislature and the City
Council of Aurora met to omit them from parks and
recreational use, which the code appears to give
regulation to the park district and the Fox
Valley Recreational District.

If you go to the bottom of that zoning code and the statute, it states that under 6.6-5.13, Parking and Loading. A: "Parking facilities may be developed as appropriate to park use." That means again you're going to give to the school district the ability to make parking lots wherever they want to make parking lots, including as close to 30 feet Galena.

This is a residential area. Let's keep it residential. It's beautiful because the housing is unique, there is a lot of diversity in the area, people keep their houses up, people mow their lawns, let's keep the resident property owners incentivize to keep their property up and be proud of where they live.

I also want to complain about the Notice.

Because I've never seen any plans provided by

Т	anybody to the City or otherwise about what the
2	school district is going to build, I think what
3	they have actually provided me was incredibly
4	deficient because it was certainly a
5	misapplication of the concept of constitutional
6	due process and due notice. But I'm not going to
7	keep you. The Cubs are down 4 to nothing I'm
8	sorry to report.
9	But I thank you for the opportunity to
10	address you.
11	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Thank you for
12	your comments. Is there anyone else who would
13	like to make a comment or ask a question before I
L4	close the public hearing?
15	I'm going to close the public hearing and
16	we will ask the Petitioners if they would like to
L7	respond to some of these questions. And then we
18	will see if we have any responses or questions of
19	our own.
20	MS. SMITH: I am Angie Smith. We
21	will go ahead and try to take these in order.
22	On the question about why we have dirt on some of
23	the sites on the houses that we took down that is
24	a requirement. We can't leave the site as is.

Т	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Maybe you should
2	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: This is not
3	going to be a debate. The public hearing is
4	closed. Ms. Smith is addressing the
5	Commission.
6	MS. SMITH: So we are here tonight to
7	ask for a variance. Unfortunately there are some
8	requirements that we have to maintain with the
9	Office of Education that required us to put some
10	curbing in, to also have that site reseeded.
11	As to the home that is currently still on
12	Spruce street and the possibility of changing
13	that into a parkway, we take into approach as a
14	district that we don't go after homes through the
15	eminent domain process and try to have people
16	leave who don't want to sell.
17	So the home that we spoke about that we
18	took down, we purchased once it was in
19	foreclosure. We had numerous attempts to try and
20	work something out before it got to that point.
21	It was owned by a rather large conglomerate. So
22	it was difficult for us to even find out who to
23	purchase it from.
24	Again the improvements that were made were

1	not made on school district's time. They were
2	made by the bank who owned the property. We were
3	actually able to recover a lot of those
4	improvements and will use them elsewhere in the
5	facilities.
6	But it was not for lack of trying that that
7	home was not purchased before the improvements.
8	We just didn't have a willing buyer until that
9	point.
10	In terms of the property values, we don't
11	anticipate that this is going to have any
12	significant change to property values. We are
13	talking about improving the high school campus.
14	The campus is still in place. We are not making
15	any market changes to the campus.
16	And I think I will let you talk about the
17	drawings.
18	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: The next question
19	I have is whether the gentleman's lot was part of
20	the parking lot.
21	MR. KELSEY: A plat of survey and a
22	title records search was conducted on all of the
23	parcels obtained by the school district. There
24	appears to be I think it's the way the plan

1	looks if you look at Plat of Survey. The school
2	is in ownership of all of the parcels that have
3	been affected and that are proposed in this plan.
4	MR. WHITT: We will talk to
5	Mr. Schindlbeck to make sure that we are not
6	improving his property.
7	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Are the parking
8	lots going to be fenced or landscaped?
9	MR. KELSEY: Earlier this summer,
10	there was a discussion with the adjacent
11	landowner on Galena across the street from
12	Mr. Fox. And at that time the school district
13	made a commitment to work with the immediately
14	adjacent landowner. We have honored a 20-foot
15	setback in that location and the details have not
16	been worked out with him, but the district is
17	committed to satisfying the requirements that he
18	needs in order to have the privacy adjacent to
19	that lot.
20	With that said, the landscaping would be on
21	the school district's property and maintained by
22	the school district and not the responsibility of
23	the homeowner.
24	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: I think I know

the answer to this already. Will this alleviate the parking situation?

MS. SMITH: As Dr. Craig mentioned, we are hard pressed for parking. We typically start each fall with seniors first and we fill those 200 spaces before we get completely through the senior class. So will this alleviate our parking completely? No. It will probably go a long way into letting us get through the senior class and a good chunk of the junior class.

But obviously depending on the student body makeup and how many have cars in a given year, it may or may not. We do charge \$75 for a parking permit. So we do always have some students who choose not to pay the parking and prefer street parking.

On the question about that lot in the front, that lot is going to be designated for teachers and staff, the lot that is on Galena, the hope is that teachers that are currently parking on the east side of the building would move to the west side of the building, which would free up more parking on that side of the building. That parking lot, as we mentioned,

Т	will be landscaped. The design of the parking
2	spaces are such that they will facing to the east
3	or to the west. They won't face Galena.
4	And I will look into the smoking. Trust
5	me.
6	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: And are there
7	other parking lots lighted?
8	MS. SMITH: We are not proposing any
9	lighting. There are some lights in that parking
10	lot on that side of the building, but not in that
11	lot specifically.
12	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Are there other
13	questions that Commissioners have based on the
<b>L</b> 4	testimony we have her heard?
15	Is there anything else you folks want to
16	ask? I do have a question for Staff, but I will
L <b>7</b>	wait if you want to go ahead.
18	MR. WHITT: All I was going to say in
19	response to Mr. Fox's concerns about the school
20	district's "shoehorning" this into a Parks and
21	Recreations' zoning classification, I have
22	appeared before the City Council and Planning
23	Commission for many many years on school issues.
24	And schools in the good old days always use to

1 peak in the residential zoning classification. 2 when we first approached the City with all of these projects, the City told us that all of 3 4 the West Aurora School District properties, as 5 well as other school district properties, are going to be in the Parks Recreation Zoning 6 Classification. 7 So it's not an issue of a school district 8 9 attempting to pull one over on anybody. We basically got told the first meeting that we had 10 with Staff. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Can you elaborate on 13 the reasons why the City sees this as a possible 14 Parks and Recreation zoning? MS. VACEK: So I just pulled up the 15 zoning map. The majority of this property is 16 actually Zone P for park. It has been like that 17 since 1992, right around there. The Staff is 18 19 just trying to make it consistent with basically 20 the main campus so that they would have one 21 zoning. The only ones that aren't Zoned P are 22 23 current acquisitions that have not been rezoned.

And the newest use table in the zoning ordinance

1	does recognize educational services as a Special
2	Use.
3	In 1992 when they did that, they took all
4	the public schools as well as public parks,
5	public pretty much infrastructure or public
6	uses and we put them all under the P district.
7	So that is why we were trying to keep that
8	consistent.
9	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: So basically most
10	of this property is already zoned?
11	MS. VACEK: Most of the property is
12	Zoned P for Parks and Recreational. We are just
13	rezoning the current acquisitions to the P
14	District.
15	Are there other questions for Staff?
16	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: I would
17	suggest that with the parking that it might make
18	some sense if we've got glare from the other
19	parking lots and such around that it might be a
20	good time to help alleviate that problem by
21	making sure that the cutoff and stuff, even
22	though they are existing, meet City requirements
23	to help lessen the problem of light density in
24	there. And that's all I have at the moment.

1	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Other questions?
2	MS. PHIFER: I wanted to followup on
3	Tracey's comment. So the request is to put the
4	underlying zoning as a P; however, there is a
5	Special Use Plan Development that would be over
6	the entire property. That's one of the actions
7	that is before you this evening.
8	One of the things that goes along with that
9	is that there is a final plan that's being
LO	approved. So any additional parking lots that
L <b>1</b>	would go on, the school district would need to
L2	come back through and revise that final plan.
L3	So just with the question would they be
L4	able to continue to add more parking lots, they
L5	would need to come through for approval process
L6	in order to do that. So even with the P zoning,
L <b>7</b>	that oversight would still be in place.
L8	COMMISSIONER COLE: I have two
L9	questions: Somewhere in here it talks about if
20	the school district has ownership on both sides
21	of a street that that street could be vacated.
22	Will that be the case anywhere on the site?
23	MS. VACEK: Not at this current time.
24	COMMISSIONER COLE: And the other

1	questions is regarding sidewalks. At one point
2	it says that there will be sidewalks and there
3	will be concrete. But then in the same paragraph
4	it refers to sidewalks/paths.
5	MS. VACEK: Usually that's our
6	standard, sometimes we have paths on one side and
7	then sidewalks on the other. I believe that this
8	will be all concrete.
9	COMMISSIONER COLE: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Other questions?
11	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: One of the
12	other question is somebody made a comment on the
13	fact of lack of building elevations and plans.
14	I would only comment on that that schools are
15	kind of a strange bird because the zoning and
16	such is applicable to this Body. But the
17	building plans, themselves, are not. Now they
18	did show us some elevations. But that would not
19	be part of the requirement because the building
20	plans and elevations are all part of the
21	jurisdiction of the regional office of education.
22	And so that is the requirements that go
23	through there, not through the City. The City is
24	basically allowing what zoning takes place and

1	doesn't really have anything to do with the
2	building and the facilities that are being built
3	there.
4	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Are there further
5	questions by the Commissioners? If not, Tracey,
6	do you have a recommendation?
7	MS. VACEK: Sure. This is the
8	recommendation for 15-00839. The Staff would
9	recommend Conditional Approval of the Ordinance
10	establishing a Special Use Planned Development,
11	approving the West Aurora High School Campus Plan
12	Description and amending ordinance Number 3100,
13	being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning
14	Map attached thereto, to an underlying zoning of
15	P Park and Recreation District for the property
16	located at 1201 West New York Street, with the
17	following conditions:
18	1. That the Permitted Uses Section
19	1.3.1(1)j "Scoreboards and videoboards" of the
20	plan description be modified to add the following
21	limitation: "When not visible from public right
22	of ways."
23	2. That the Bulk Restrictions Section
24	1.4.1(1)a.ii. and 1.4.1.(1)a.iii of the plan

1	description be modified as follows:
2	ii. Randall Road: Ten (10) feet.
3	iii. Fordham Avenue: Ten (10) feet.
4	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: We've heard the
5	Staff recommendation. What's the wish of the
6	Commission?
7	COMMISSIONER PILMER: Move for
8	approval.
9	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Second.
LO	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: It's been moved
L1	and second. Call the Roll, please.
L2	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mr. Bergeron?
L3	COMMISSIONER BERGERON: Yes.
L4	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mr. Cameron?
L5	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes.
L6	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mrs. Cole?
L <b>7</b>	COMMISSIONER COLE: Yes.
L8	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mr. Divine?
L9	COMMISSIONER DIVINE: Yes.
20	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mrs. Duncan?
21	COMMISSIONER DUNCAN: Yes.
22	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mr. Engen?
23	COMMISSIONER ENGEN: Madam Chairman,
24	I have to abstain from voting because I am

1	employed with the West Aurora School District.
2	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mr. Pilmer?
3	COMMISSIONER PILMER: Yes.
4	SECRETARY JACKSON: Mr. Reynolds.
5	COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: We need to do
7	Finding of Fact, I believe. So we are going to
8	evaluate the proposal with respect to the
9	following:
10	Will the establishment of the proposed
11	Special Use be unreasonably detrimental to or
12	endanger the public health, safety, morals,
13	comfort or general welfare?
14	COMMISSIONER PILMER: It should be
15	quite the contrary. The additions in these
16	improvements should enhance the public health,
17	safety, morals and comfort and general welfare of
18	the public.
19	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: No. 2: Will the
20	establishment of the proposed Special Use be
21	injurious to the use and enjoyment of other
22	property in the immediate vicinity for the
23	purposes already permitted?
24	COMMISSIONER BERGERON: It's

Т	basically creating a common zoning for the entire
2	area and the parking situation, while still not
3	being solved totally, will be improved by the
4	addition of 164 spaces.
5	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: No. 3: Will the
6	establishment of the proposed Special Use
7	substantially diminish/impair property values
8	within the neighborhood?
9	COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: The proposals
10	and additions that they are doing will certainly
11	not diminish property values but will stabilize
12	the area.
13	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: No. 4: Will the
<b>L</b> 4	establishment of the proposed Special Use impede
<b>L</b> 5	the normal and orderly development and
16	improvement of surrounding properties for uses
L <b>7</b>	permitted by their respective zoning districts?
18	COMMISSIONER PILMER: The high school
19	has been in place since 1953 and it's a
20	well-established educational facility in the
21	neighborhood district, and this zoning is
22	consistent with the existing zoning
23	classification.
24	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: No. 5: Are

1	adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and
2	other necessary facilities provided or shown as
3	being proposed on the site plan for the proposed
4	Special Use?
5	COMMISSIONER PILMER: They are either
6	in place or will be provided.
7	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: No. 6: What
8	effect will the proposed Special Use have on
9	traffic or general area? Has ingress and egress
10	been designed to minimize congestion in the
11	public streets?
12	COMMISSIONER COLE: With the
13	additional parking on site, this should help
14	alleviate some of the congestion in the
15	neighborhood. Otherwise, ingress and egress have
16	both been addressed.
17	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: No. 6: Does the
18	proposed Special Use conform in all other
19	respects to the applicable regulations of the
20	zoning district in which it is located, except as
21	such regulations are modified pursuant to the
22	Plan Commission recommendations?
23	COMMISSIONER PILMER: By virtue of
24	it's special use, it will conform.

1	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Those are the
2	Findings of Fact.
3	Tracey, would you tell us where this goes
4	onward?
5	MS. VACEK: This will be next heard
6	at the Planning and Development Committee on
7	October 29th here at City Hall, Fifth Floor
8	Conference Room at 4:00 p.m.
9	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Those in the
10	audience, after that meeting that Tracey has
11	referred to, this does go to City Council. So
12	the City Council is the next spot, and they are
13	the ultimate people who decide on what this will
14	look like.
15	So we thank you for your comments. Our
16	next item on the agenda is as follows: A
17	Resolution approving a final plan for West Aurora
18	High School Campus located at 1201 West New York
19	Street.
20	Tracey?
21	MS. VACEK: Staff would recommend
22	Conditional approval of the Resolution approving
23	the final plan for West Aurora High School campus
24	located at 1201 West New York Street with the

1	following condition: That the proposed parking
2	lot along Randall Road be shifted 5 feet to the
3	east to accommodate a 10-foot setback from
4	Randall Road.
5	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: You have heard
6	the Staff's recommendation. What's the wish of
7	the Commission.
8	COMMISSIONER PILMER: Move for
9	approval.
10	COMMISSIONER COLE: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: It's been moved
12	and second.
13	Sue, call the Roll please.
14	MS. JACKSON: Mr. Bergeron?
15	COMMISSIONER BERGERON: Yes.
16	MS. JACKSON: Mr. Cameron?
17	COMMISSIONER CAMERON: Yes.
18	MS. JACKSON: Mrs. Cole?
19	COMMISSIONER COLE: Yes.
20	MS. JACKSON: Mr. Duncan?
21	COMMISSIONER DUNCAN: Yes.
22	MS. JACKSON: Mr. Divine?
23	COMMISSIONER DIVINE: Yes.
24	MS. JACKSON: Mr. Engen?

1	COMMISSIONER ENGEN: I will abstain.
2	MS. JACKSON: Mr. Pilmer?
3	COMMISSIONER PILMER: Yes.
4	MS. JACKSON: Mr. Reynolds?
5	MR. REYNOLDS: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Motion carries.
7	MS. VACEK: This will go to the
8	Planning and Development Committee in City Hall
9	on October 29th, Fifth Floor Conference Room at
10	4:00 p.m.
11	CHAIRPERSON TRUAX: Okay. Thank you