

City of Aurora

Legistar History Report

Enactment Date:

File Number: 24-0385

File ID:	24-0385	Type: Ordinance	Status:	Agenda Ready	
Version:	2	General Ledger #:	In Control:	Building, Zoning and Economic Development Committee	
			File Created:	05/16/2024	
File Name:	C1 Chicago Aurora Conditional Use for				
Title: An Ordinance Granting a Conditional Use Permit for a Telecommunications Facility (4211) Use and the establishment of mod standards on the Property located at 2725 Bilter Road being south of B Road, west of Eola Road, and north of Interstate 88					

Notes:

Sponsors:

Planning Case #:	Exhibit "A" Legal Description - 2024-05-17 -2024.158, Exhibit "B" Memorandum of Agreement - 2024-05-17 - 2024.158, Public Comment - Dupage County Foreset Preserve - 2024-05-21 - 2024.158, Land Use Petition and Supporting Documents - 2024-03-21 - 2024.158, Third Party Affidavit for Compliance with Laws - 2024-05-02 - 2024.158, Qualifying Statement 5-2 - 2024-05-02 - 2024.158, Site Plan for Tower - 2024-05-07 - 2024.158, Affidavit for Colocation - 2024-03-21 - 2024.158, Affidavit for Franchises - 2024-03-21 - 2024.158, Tower Separation Exhibit - 2024-05-02 - 2024.158, North Tower 1 Mile Radius of Bilter Rd - 2024-05-02 - 2024.158, South Tower 1 Mile Radius of Bilter Rd - 2024-05-02 - 2024.158, Location map - 2024-05-17 - 2024.158, Rendering with Photo Simulation of Tower - 2024-05-20 - 2024.158 NA06/4-24.158 - CUPD/Ppn/Psd	Enactment Number: Hearing Date:
Drafter:	JMorgan@aurora-il.org	Effective Date:
History of Legis	ative File	

	Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
--	---------------	--------------	-------	---------	----------	-----------	-----------------	---------

1	Planning and Zon Commission	ning 05/22/2024 Forwarded	Building, Zoning, and Economic Development	05/29/2024	Pas
	Action Text:	A motion was made by Mrs. Martinez, secon Building, Zoning, and Economic Developme carried.			
	Notes:	Chairman Pilmer said and then do you want Permit?	t to read the Findings of Fact	for the Conditional Use	
		Mrs. Morgan said Staff has the following co	mments regarding the Finding	gs of Fact:	
		1) The project will not be detrimental to or e general welfare. The Telecommunication Fa the Data Center Campus. The proposed Tel- existing Telecommunication Facilities locate telecommunication facilities have not been of general welfare and given the similar nature towers would also not be detrimental to the Telecommunication Facilities provides the m facilities while also ensuring compliance with	acilities is integral to Petitione lecommunication Facilities ar ed at the existing C1 Facility of detrimental to the public healt e of these proposed towers, it general public's welfare. The nost optimal and efficient patl	r's anticipated operation of e substantially similar to the on Diehl Road. The current th, safety, morals, comfort, or is believed that the proposed location of the	
		2) The conditional use will not be injurious a immediate vicinity or diminish or impair prop Area of Butterfield Planned Development ar intensity compared to other manufacturing/ii petitioner demonstrates that the towers mee existing telecommunications facilities. In the facilities, other existing Telecommunication influence land use and the manner in which proposed towers are consistent with the est located to avoid disturbance of other quieter	perty values as the property is and the proposed data center a ndustrial uses. The documen et the city's technical requiren general vicinity of the Prope Facilities, and the I-88 corrido property in the immediate vic ablished character of the area	s located in the Manufacturing and towers are relatively low tation provided by the nents and will not conflict with rty, electrical distribution or are defining features that cinity is enjoyed. The two a and are appropriately	
		3) The development will not impede the not surrounding properties as the proposed Tell of the Telecommunication Facilities in the au Facility, the City has seen substantial addition the C1 Facility. The trend of development au Facilities have not impeded normal and order	ecommunication Facilities are rea. Since the first tower was onal investment at the C1 Fac round the C1 Facility shows t	e consistent with the character installed at the existing C1 cility and in the area around	
		 The proposal will provide adequate utilit establishing the sufficient infrastructure is an basins are being provided to address curren towers. 	vailable and a series of additi	onal stormwater management	
		5) The project does provide adequate ingre Facilities, Petitioner anticipates very limited operation.			
		6) The Telecommunication Facilities compl. except as outlined above. The City has engi- provided to the City with regards to this proj by the Petitioner will not have a negative im- proposed by Petitioner are generally consist approved for other Telecommunication Faci	aged a third-party consultant ect and it is of his opinion tha pact on the surrounding prop tent with the character of mod	to review the documents t the proposed modifications erties. The modifications lifications that the City has	
		Staff also wants to note in the Public Input s Forest Preserve, which also has comments		that letter from Dupage	
		Chairman Pilmer said would you like to prov	vide a recommendation?		
		Mrs. Morgan said Staff would recommend C Conditional Use Permit for a Telecommunic modified standards on the Property located	ations Facility (4211) Use and	d the establishment of	

Pass

Eola Road, and north of Interstate 88 with the following conditions:

1) That the Conditional Use approval be contingent upon the Third-Party Consultant's final approval.

2) That the Petitioner allow for the maximum number of antenna co-locations on the telecommunication facility at fair market value.

Chairman Pilmer said thank you. You've heard Staff's recommendation with 2 conditions attached. Is there a motion?

MOTION OF APPROVAL WAS MADE BY: Mrs. Martinez MOTION SECONDED BY: Mr. Lee AYES: Chairman Pilmer, Mr. Gonzales, Mr. Lee, Mrs. Martinez, Mr. Pickens, and Mr. Roberts. NAYS: 0 Motion carried.

Chairman Pilmer said motion carries. And then Staff did read into the record 6 Findings of Fact associated with this petition. Are there any additions or corrections? Hearing none, is there a motion to accept as written and read?

MOTION OF APPROVAL OF FINDINGS OF FACT WAS MADE BY: Mr. Roberts MOTION SECONDED BY: Mrs. Martinez AYES: Chairman Pilmer, Mr. Gonzales, Mr. Lee, Mrs. Martinez, Mr. Pickens, and Mr. Roberts. NAYS: 0 Motion carried.

Chairman Pilmer said motion carries. And if Staff will state where this will next be heard.

Mrs. Morgan said this will next be heard at the Building, Zoning, and Economic Development Committee meeting on May 29th, 4 o'clock, in the Council Chambers. Aye: 6 Chairperson Pilmer, At Large Lee, At Large Gonzales, At Large Pickens, At Large Roberts and At Large Martinez

Text of Legislative File 24-0385