

City of Aurora

44 East Downer Place Aurora, Illinois 60505 www.aurora-il.org

Legistar History Report

File Number: 17-00856

File ID: 17-00856 Type: Petition Status: Draft

Version: 2 In Control: Planning & General

> Ledger #: Development Committee

> > File Created: 09/11/2017

Final Action: File Name: Katalin Lonyay / 787-787 1/2 E. New York Street /

Downzoning

Title: An Ordinance Amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, by downzoning Property located at 787-787 1/2 E. New York Street from R-4 Two Family Dwelling

District to R-2 One Family Dwelling District (Katalin Lonyay - 17-00856 /

AU23/3-17.186-DZ - TV - Ward 2) (PUBLIC HEARING)

Notes:

Agenda Date: 01/11/2018

Agenda Number:

Hearing Date:

Sponsors: **Enactment Date:**

Attachments: Exhibit "A" Legal Description.pdf, Land Use Petition -**Enactment Number:**

> 2017-08-30 - 2017.186.pdf, Property Research Sheet -24682.pdf, Legistar History Report - 2017-12-19 -2017.186.pdf, Findings of Facts - 2017-12-19 -

2017.186.pdf

Planning Case #: AU23/3-17.186-DZ

Drafter: tvacek@aurora-il.org **Effective Date:**

History of Legislative File

Ver-	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:			
1	Committee of the Whole	09/19/2017	Forward to Planning Council	DST Staff Council (Planning Council)	(F)					
	Action Text: This Peti	tion was Forwa	rd to Planning Council to	the DST Staff Council	(Planning Council)					
1	DST Staff Council (Planning Council)	09/26/2017	placed on pending				Pass			
	Action Text: A motion was made by Mrs. Morgan, seconded by Mrs. Vacek, that this agenda item be placed on pending. The motion carried by voice vote.									
	Notes: Mr Siehr	. Mr. Sieben said what we've been doing on these is we've moved these down to Pending and then we								

Mr. Sieben said what we've been doing on these is we've moved these down to Pending and then we

will move these as a group in a few months.

Mrs. Morgan made a motion to move this to Pending. Mrs. Vacek seconded the motion. The motion

		у.							
1	DST Staff Counc		2017						
1	DST Staff Counc	= =	2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		2017						
1	DST Staff Counc	= =	2017						
1	DST Staff Counc (Planning Counc		2017						
1	DST Staff Counc (Planning Counc		2017						
1	DST Staff Counc (Planning Counc		2017						
1	DST Staff Counc		2017						
1	DST Staff Counc (Planning Counc	= =	2017						
1	DST Staff Counc (Planning Counc		2017						
1	DST Staff Counc	= =	2017 Forwarde	(OST Staff Council Planning Council)	12/19/2017	Pass		
	Action Text:	Action Text: A motion was made by Mrs. Vacek, seconded by Mr. Broadwell, that this agenda item be Forwarded to the DST Staff Council (Planning Council), on the agenda for 12/19/2017. The motion carried by							
	Notes:	voice vote. Mrs. Vacek said I would like to move this up to the general agenda for next week because it needs to be voted out next week. I make a motion to move this up to the general agenda. Mr. Broadwell seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.							
1	DST Staff Counc	••	.017 Forwarde	•	Planning	01/03/2018	Pass		
	(Planning Council) Commission Action Text: A motion was made by Mrs. Vacek, seconded by Mr. Beneke, that this agenda item								
	Action Text: A motion was made by Mrs. Vacek, seconded by Mr. Beneke, that this agenda item be Forward the Planning Commission, on the agenda for 1/3/2018. The motion carried by voice vote.								
	Notes:	Mrs. Vacek said I n Beneke seconded			•	l Planning Commission. Mr.			
2	Planning Commis		2018 Forwarde	d F	Planning & Development Committee	01/11/2018	Pass		
	Action Text:	Action Text: A motion was made by Mrs. Head, seconded by Mrs. Anderson, that this agenda item be Forwarde							
	Notes:	to the Planning & Development Committee, on the agenda for 1/11/2018. The motion carried. Mrs. Vacek said the Petitioner is requesting to downzone the property at 787-787½ E. New York Street from R-4 to R-2. The property is currently a single family and has R-4 zoning. The proposed zoning is consistent with the longstanding policy of density reduction as well as the zoning matches the area around it and the use.							
		The public input po input portion of the	•		pened. No witnesses	s came forward. The public			

Mrs. Vacek said staff would recommend approval of the Ordinance amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, by downzoning the property located at 787-7871/2 E. New York Street from R-4 to R-2.

MOTION OF APPROVAL WAS MADE BY: Mrs. Head

MOTION SECONDED BY: Mrs. Anderson

AYES: Mrs. Anderson, Mr. Bergeron, Mr. Cameron, Mr. Chambers, Mr. Divine, Mrs. Head, Mr.

Reynolds

NAYS: None

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Is the proposal in accordance with all applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?
- Mr. Chambers said yes. I believe those are listed in the staff report.
- 2. Does the proposal represent the logical establishment and/or consistent extension of the requested classification in consideration of the existing land uses, existing zoning classifications, and essential character of the general area of the property in question?
- Mr. Reynolds said the proposal does represent the highest and best use of the property.
- 3. Is the proposal consistent with a desirable trend of development in the general area of the property in question, occurring since the property in question was placed in its present zoning classification, desirability being defined as the trend's consistency with applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?
- Mr. Reynolds said again, the proposal represents the highest and best use of the property.
- 4. Will the proposal maintain a compatible relationship with the traffic pattern and traffic volume of adjacent streets and not have an adverse effect upon traffic or pedestrian movement and safety in the general area of the property in question?
- Mrs. Anderson said there should be no change in traffic pattern in the area.
- 5. Will the proposal allow for the provision of adequate public services and facilities to the property in question and have no adverse effect upon existing public services and facilities?
- Mr. Chambers said once again, it will have no adverse effect. There should be no change to the area.
- 6. Does the proposal take adequate measures or will they be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to maximize pedestrian and vehicular circulation ease and safety, minimize traffic congestion, and not substantially increase the congestion in the public streets?
- Mrs. Head said adequate measures are already in place.
- 7a. Is the rezoning a consistent extension of the existing land uses, existing zoning classifications, and essential character of the general area?
- Mr. Reynolds said the proposal does represent the highest and best use of the property.
- 7b. Is the rezoning consistent with the desirable trend of development in the general area of the property in question, occurring since the property in question was placed in its present zoning classification, desirability being defined as the trend's consistency with applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?
- Mr. Reynolds said again, the proposal represents the highest and best use of the property.
- 7c. Will the rezoning permit uses which are more suitable than uses permitted under the existing zoning classification?

Mrs. Anderson said yes.

Mrs. Vacek said this will next be heard at the Planning and Development Committee on Thursday, January 11, 2018, at 4:00 p.m. on the fifth floor of this building.

Aye: 7 At Large Bergeron, At Large Cameron, Aurora Twnshp Representative Reynolds, At Large Anderson, Fox Metro Representative Divine, Fox Valley Park District Representative Chambers and SD 129 Representative Head