

QBS: Indian Trail Resurfacing - Rankings of Top 3 Firms

Firm Name	EVALUATION CRITERIA (3 REVIEWERS)				Total Points	Rank
	Technical Approach	Consultant Experience	Staff Capabilities	Availability		
	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(10 pts max per reviewer)		
	(90 pts max total)	(90 pts max total)	(90 pts max total)	(30 pts max total)		
Thomas Engineering Group, LLC (TEG)	81	87	85	30	283	1
Hancock Engineering	77	89	83	30	279	2
V3 Companies, Ltd.	79	87	83	28	277	3

Bid Number: QBS 21-65

Date Posted: 8/17/2021

Date Due: 9/3/2021

SOQs Received: 16

Firms that Responded: Atlas Engineering Group, Ltd., BLA, Inc., Bowman Consulting Group, Ltd., Chastain & Associates LLC, Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL), Engineering Resource Associates, Inc. (ERA), Engineering Services Group, Inc. (ESG), Globetrotters Engineering Corporation (GEC), GSG Consultants, Inc. (GSG), H.W. Lochner, Inc. (Lochner), Hancock Engineering, HR Green, Inc., Rempe Sharpe & Associates, Inc., Thomas Engineering Group, LLC (TEG), V3 Companies, Ltd., Wight & Company

The selection criteria and weightings for project selection are indicated below.

- Technical Approach (30%). Project understanding and the consultant's approach to perform Phase III Construction Engineering services and related items that are required for successful project completion.
- Consultant Experience (30%). The consultant's general experience, stability, and experience on projects similar to the one under consideration. Experience with the federally funded projects is required.
- Staff Capabilities (30%). The education, experience, and expertise of the consultant's key employees.
- Availability (10%). Availability of the construction staff to perform the requested duties.