QBS: 2022-2023 CCDD soil analysis - Rankings of Top 3 Firms							
Firm Name		EVALUATION CRITERIA (3 REVIEWERS)					
	Firm Experience	Staff Capabilities	Schedule/Avail ability	Technical Approach	Adherence to RFQ	Total Points	Rank
	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(30 pts max per reviewer)	(10 pts max per reviewer)	(25 pts max per reviewer)	(5 pts max per reviewer)		
	(90 pts max total)	(90 pts max total)	(30 pts max total)	(75 pts max total)	(15 pts max total)		
Huff and Huff, Inc.	86	86	25	72	15	284	1
Deuchler-Fehr Graham	83	75	29	67	14	268	2
Andrews Engineering	76	79	28	63	14	260	3

Bid Number: 20-04 SOQs Received: 18

The selection criteria and weightings for project selection are indicated below.

- Firm Experience (30%). The entity's general experience and stability, and experience on projects similar to the one under consideration.
- Staff Capabilities (30%). The education, experience, and expertise of the entity's key employees.
- Schedule/Availability (10%). Availability of adequate personnel, equipment, and facilities to complete the required work expeditiously.
- Technical Approach (25%). Project understanding and the entity's approach to the planning, organizing, management and design/construction of the project effort.
- Adherence to RFQ (5%). Quality of the entity's submittal including, but not limited to, spelling, providing all the information requested, and providing correct/accurate information.